

#### **Update on The Blueprint for Maryland's Future**

Dr. Maria Navarro, Superintendent, Charles County Public Schools & PSSAM Legislative Chair

Mary Pat Fannon, Executive Director, PSSAM

Briefing before the
House Appropriations Committee & House Ways & Means Committees
January 22, 2025



#### Presentation Outline

- PSSAM Legislative & Policy Recommendations
- Blueprint Successes & Top Recommendations
- Budget Early Days
- Questions



# PSSAM's Legislative & Policy Recommendations



#### PSSAM Legislative and Policy Recommendations The Blueprint for Maryland's Future

The Blueprint for Maryland's Future creates a bold trajectory for Maryland's public education system. As the state's top educators, we stand behind the Blueprint and applaud those who have fought hard to make this vision a reality.

We have gained invaluable experience and insight after three years of implementation - celebrating early successes, but also experiencing frustrations and challenges. Our requests for policy and legislative changes are the result of the real experiences from education experts doing the Blueprint "work" every day in school buildings and leadership offices. Our experiences in education reform have taught us that it is not enough to put a program in place, but to evaluate its effectiveness and make adjustments along the way.

The Blueprint's goals are achievable, but the implementation needs to be streamlined and realistic with clearer expectations and guidance from State leaders. While it is too early for a complete evaluation of our work, we believe these valuable lessons should be considered, and our recommendations for changes embraced as "course corrections" to ensure long-term success.

This document includes requests for policy adjustments we believe can be made by the State Board of Education (SBOE) and/or the Accountability and Implementation Board (AIB) without statutory changes, or within the AIB's plenary authority.

Part of the Blueprint's innovative approach is that every Pillar is transformative; but, it is the coalescence of these five Pillars that will create dynamic outcomes and achievements. In practice, it is also this coalescence that has been one of the biggest challenges to successful implementation. In addition, each of Maryland's twenty-four Local Education Agencies (LEAs) has a different starting point and growth trajectory. The Blueprint tends to have a one-size approach that does not "fit all," especially in districts that were previously demonstrating efficiency and excellence in many areas of the Blueprint.

#### Our Core Mission and Measure of Success - Student Growth and Achievement

The recommendations in these pages are the result of analysis of student data, qualitative experiences of educators and staff, and thoughtful contemplation of the following questions:

 How is this pillar/activity/initiative performing against Our Core Mission and Measure of Success - Student Growth and Achievement?



#### **Pillar 1: Early Childhood Education**

- Over 31,378 students, about 21% of Maryland's 3- and 4-year-olds, are enrolled in publicly funded full-day pre-K.
- Judy Centers have grown from 53 to 86 since SY 20-21.
- Charles County nearly doubled Pre-K enrollment since SY 21-22.
- Queen Anne's County expanded full-day Pre-K for Tier 1 four-year-olds using a three-year plan and Pre-K Expansion grant.



#### **Pillar 1: Early Childhood Education**

#### Priority Recommendations

For LEA programs - focus public school expansion on Tier I four-year-olds

This does not preclude public schools from serving all families, but gives LEAs autonomy in expansion decisions based on capacity, demand, and funding. *This also creates a strong business model for private providers to serve Tier I three-year-olds, as well all non-Tier I three and four-year olds.* 

Remove the required participation percentage for the mixed delivery system and permanently shift the responsibility of supporting and cultivating private providers to MSDE.

Public school systems are not equipped to develop educational programming or ensure profitable business models for private providers. Cultivating and supporting private providers should not be a mandated extension of the delivery of public education



### Pillar 2: Highly Qualified & Diverse Teachers and Leaders

- Every LEA is on track to provide a \$60,000 starting salary for teachers beginning in FY '27, with most already achieving this pivotal milestone.
- All teachers in Maryland received a 10% salary increase by July 2024 as part of the Blueprint's goals to retain teachers and elevate the teaching profession.
- Teachers pursuing National Board Certification (NBC) increased 39% in SY23-24, with 11 of 24 LEAs in the top 25 of the country in terms of numbers of individuals pursuing NBC. Maryland is first in the country for the number of new teachers earning NBC and has the second highest number of teachers of color earning NBC (AIB Highlights).



### Pillar 2: Highly Qualified & Diverse Teachers and Leaders

### Priority Recommendations

Amend the statute to remove administrators (Assistant Principals and Principals) from the Career Ladder

This adjustment aligns with the established bargaining structures in school systems; APs and Principals should not have mandated teaching time or be required to obtain National Board Certification (NBC) requirements as these are impractical requirements for their roles.

#### Study Request

We urge the appropriate entities to *explore critical questions related to the effectiveness of NBC in teacher recruitment and student achievement.* Specifically addressing:

- The relationship between incentives and teacher recruitment in low performing schools;
- The impact of NBC on success rates for diverse teacher candidates;
- The suitability of NBC as an incentive and benchmark for teacher retention.



#### **Pillar 3: College and Career Preparation**

- *Wicomico County:* Dual enrollment has surged at Wor-Wic Community College and Salisbury University, helping high school students get a head start on college; in fact, offerings in dual enrollment programs have increased statewide.
- Apprenticeship Maryland Program participation increased from 124 (SY 21-22) to 507 (SY 22-23).
- Over 322 career coaches were hired for career counseling in SY 23-24 (<u>AIB Highlights</u>).
- Charles County From 2022 to 2024, there was a 12% increase in students taking AP courses, nearly doubling the AP tests taken during this timeframe with no decreases in the percentage of students scoring 3 or above.



#### Pillar 3: College and Career Preparation

#### Priority Recommendations

Career Counseling - allow LEAs to discontinue the pilot partnerships with community colleges and workforce investment boards (WIBs) a year ahead of the pilot's expiration.

Dual Enrollment/Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate/CTE - LEAs should have the flexibility to target funding for tuition and ancillary costs.

If sufficient State funding is provided, require districts to cover all costs for *income-eligible students*, but allow districts to limit costs for other students. The implications of unfettered access to all of these resources is not just poor policy, but fiscally negligent



- 2024 brought a total of 621 community schools statewide and expansion of community schools to secondary schools for the first time\*. (\*excluding Baltimore City which already had secondary programs)
- *Prince George's County* has created 109 Community Schools since 2019 every school has a coordinator, who acts as a liaison between the school and the community to establish and maintain partnerships; at least one full-time mental health provider who serves students and families and also conducts professional development for staff; and, a registered nurse (RN) is assigned to each community school.



#### Priority Recommendations

Compel the MSDE, AIB, and a representative sample of the LEAs *to study differentiated levels of special education funding*, a model implemented in the majority of states. The study should also address:

Expert Review Teams (ERT) - Reprogram this funding to MSDE to appropriately support the Blueprint's implementation by reducing the number of visits each year with clearer and targeted goals (perhaps in line with the literacy plans), and more skilled and consistent evaluation teams. Or, reprogram the funding to bolster MSDE staff to support the LEAs.



#### Priority Recommendations

Compel the MSDE, AIB, DBM, DLS and a representative sample of the LEAs to analyze and update the fiscal mandates and viability of the Blueprint.

- Unlike the original analysis, include ALL components of funding education, including transportation, building maintenance, operating and capital funds for school security, health services, food services, employee and retiree health benefits.
- This analysis should include forecasting of State and local revenues.

*Capital Funding for School Construction* - Support a robust and enhanced CIP above the stagnant appropriation of \$450 million and *indexed to inflation*.

The lack of indexing has resulted in a DECREASE in purchasing power of capital funding.



#### Pillar 5: Governance & Accountability

- All LEAs have undergone two gargantuan efforts to develop local Blueprint Implementation Plans these have been developed with extensive local stakeholder collaboration and months of public meetings and collaboration.
- SY 23-24 <u>Highlights (AIB):</u>
  - Expert Review Teams (ERTs) and the Maryland Initiative for Literacy and Equity (MILE) visited 133 schools (~10% of Maryland schools).
  - 150 school visits planned for this year, focusing on elementary literacy and math.
  - Fiscal 2025 marks the start of monthly fiscal reporting through PowerSchool, tracking education funding at the school level.



#### Pillar 5: Governance & Accountability

#### Priority Recommendations It's not sexy but it's how the work gets done...

Implementation Guidance - in order to strengthen our partnership and mutual respect for work and capacity, we are working with the Boards to establish more timely guidance and reasonable schedules for the submission of reports and data.

As part of this effort, we are also working with the MSDE to:

• Undertake a *comprehensive review of the current required 179 reports* and updates that are required under the Blueprint and in compliance with existing requirements in the Education Article, COMAR, and joint AIB/MSDE established policies.



#### Pillar 5: Governance & Accountability

#### Priority Recommendations

Staying focused and invested in the Blueprint.

We need the Department, AIB, and the General Assembly's partnership to *resist any new programs or unfunded mandates* that are not directly aligned with the Blueprint or funded appropriately.

All new legislative initiatives should be *discretionary and/or authorizing*.

The obligations and expectations on schools since the pandemic have *exploded* and the time for clear focus and dogged determination to *educate* our students *has* to rise to the highest priority.







#### The BUDGET

• The truth about "re-allocating resources"

• The "Foundation" problem

• The proposed budget reductions





## The Blueprint conundrum & the *truth* about "re-allocating resources"

One of the underlying premises of the Blueprint and the Kirwan Commission "LEAs were not investing resources appropriately"



Fact: LEAs budgets are almost 80-90% "people"

The Commission did not properly consider ALL of the costs for the delivery of public education, including: food service, security, utilities, health care costs, facilities maintenance, and debt service.....OR the extraordinary costs for special education and transportation...



### Special education and transportation costs cut into the ability to fund every other aspect of delivering public education

Local school systems spend over \$1,038,848,168 in direct *special education* services that are *NOT* reimbursed by the state and federal governments. The federal government has never lived up to its obligation to provide up to 40% of the costs of special education (the highest level has been 17%). This has created profound needs for **local funding** for special education. *Transportation* costs also consume more than \$511,959,938 in "discretionary" funding that is not reimbursed or recognized in State and local funding formulas.

	Local Education Agency	Total State and Local Revenue	LEA Budget	Amount Funded by LEA in Excess of Formula
Special Education Costs	Frederick	\$43,857,716	\$89,929,868	\$46,072,152
	<b>TOTAL</b> (24 districts)	\$917,161,593	\$1,951,892,320	\$1,038,848,168
Transportation Costs	Charles	\$14,364,062	\$43,729,558	\$29,365,496
	TOTAL (24 districts)	\$363,364,286	\$857,384,190	\$511,959,938



#### The elephant...The BUDGET

The "Foundation" problem



It is NOT enough to provide the basic educational services to a student who does not also meet the criteria of one of the targeted funding programs (compensatory education, special education or multilingual learners)

The Blueprint leaves little to no room for LEAs to be flexible or innovative in their funding



### The proposed education budget reductions

	FY 2026 - promised Blueprint funding	PROPOSED	
Per Pupil Amount "the Foundation	\$9,226	\$9063	
% increase	4.97%	3.11%	
Increase Per Pupil (over FY '25)	\$437	\$274	

None of this helps the State's FY 2026 Budget Deficit





### The proposed budget changes are a DOUBLE WHAMMY in

lost funding to local school systems totaling \$234 million (\$234,033,647)

None of this helps the State's FY 2026 Budget Deficit

\*Matches DLS Fiscal Briefing Info

Blueprint Category	State Funding	<b>Local Funding</b>
Foundation Reduction	\$70,339,286	\$69,533,799
Comp Ed Reduction	\$31,299,669	\$30,284,444
Multilingual Learner Reduction	\$9,750,947	\$9,449,529
Education Effort Effort Reduction	9,876,397	
Guaranteed Tax Base (addition)	(1,699,606)	_
Comparable Wage Index Reduction	\$2,789,441	\$2,408,741
Total "Savings" (or	\$122,356,134*	\$111,677,513
cut to education)		



## **Please** stay invested in the Blueprint and give us time to succeed



We ask the committees to **RESIST** reductions to the Blueprint formulas until an updated fiscal analysis is completed

Existing funding estimates were last updated in in 2019 -

- In a pre-Covid world....
- ....Without an appropriate understanding of the complex operational needs of school systems
- ....Without an appropriate understanding or analysis of the extraordinary needs of our most vulnerable special education students



## **Please** stay invested in the Blueprint and give us time to succeed



**Please** honor the foundation and the 'blueprints' we have created for success.

**Please** honor the hard work accomplished over the last three years by thousands of educators, administrators, advocates to pave the way for academic success.



uestions?